PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

1		506607 PCT/JP2002/00
aslation	ATENT COOPERATION TREATY $\mathbf{PCT/P}$ ONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT	
	(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transf Preliminary Examination Report	t (Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/JP2002/007376	International filing date (day/month/year) 22 July 2002 (22.07.2002) Priority date (day/month/year) 13 March 2	/month/year) 2002 (13.03.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or r G01N 22/02 Applicant		
Applicant	BURN-AM CO., LTD.	•
This report is also accomparamended and are the basis for 70.16 and Section 607 of the	f sheets, including this cover sheet. nied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before a Administrative Instructions under the PCT). total of sheets.	drawings which have be e this Authority (see Ro
IV Lack of unity of it V Reasoned stateme citations and expl VI Certain document VII Certain defects in	at of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial anvention and under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or in anations supporting such statement	
I Basis of the report II Priority III Non-establishmen IV Lack of unity of it V Reasoned stateme citations and expl VI Certain document VII Certain defects in	at of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial anvention out under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or in anations supporting such statement as cited the international application	
I Basis of the report II Priority III Non-establishmen IV Lack of unity of it V Reasoned stateme citations and expl VI Certain document VII Certain defects in VIII Certain observati	at of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial anvention and under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or in anations supporting such statement as cited the international application ons on the international application Date of completion of this report	dustrial applicability;
I Basis of the report II Priority III Non-establishmen IV Lack of unity of it V Reasoned stateme citations and expl VI Certain document VII Certain defects in VIII Certain observation	tof opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial anvention ont under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or in anations supporting such statement as cited of the international application ons on the international application Date of completion of this report 10.2003) Date of completion of this report	dustrial applicability;

International application No.

PCT/JP2002/007376

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

I. Basis of the	report
	to the elements of the international application:*
the i	nternational application as originally filed
	, up vg,
page	
pag pag	, filed with the letter of
the	claims: , as originally filed
pag	as amended (Toyettle) with any succession
pag	es, as amended (together the demand, filed with the demand
pag	filed with the letter of
pag	es
the	drawings: , as originally filed
pa	res Fled with the demand
pa	ges, filed with the letter of
pa	ges, nied with the folds: 52
T the	equence listing part of the description:
	as originary most
Da	ges, filed with the letter of
the inter These e t t 3. With prelimi	gard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which national application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. ements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3). the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3). the language of the translation furnished are disclosed in the international application, the international application was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: tontained in the international application in written form. The did together with the international application in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.
in th	the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/fig This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).** cement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to s report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 0.17). eplacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.
** Any 1	eplacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this repermental to

International application No.

PCT/JP2002/007376

***		to of unity of invention					
		k of unity of invention					
1. In	1. In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:						
		restricted the claims.					
		paid additional fees.					
		paid additional fees under protest.					
	\leq	neither restricted nor paid additional fees.					
2. [This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.					
3. 7	his	Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is					
[complied with.					
[\boxtimes	not complied with for the following reasons:					
		See supplemental sheet					
i							
1							
1							
4	. C	consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination a stablishing this report:					
		all parts.					
		the parts relating to claims Nos					
- 1							

International application No. PCT/JP 02/07376

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: IV. 3.

Group 1

Claims 1 to 3 and 6 to 16 describe an invention that inspects an underground area around the entire periphery of a buried pipe by causing an antenna to rotate.

Group 2

Claims 4 and 5 describe an invention that inspects only an underground area lying above an underground pipe, wherein an antenna is held in a manner allowing it to be raised and lowered, rather than being held by a mechanism for rotating the antenna.

Group 3

Claims 17 to 24 pertain to a mechanism for causing a probe part to move, but do not describe an invention that causes an antenna to rotate or holds an antenna in a manner allowing it to be raised and lowered.

Therefore, this international application does not pertain to one invention or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, and thus, does not fulfill the requirement of unity of invention.

International application No.
PCT/JP 02/07376

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
 citations and explanations supporting such statement

•	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-3, 6-16	YES - NO
		Claims Claims	7, 13	– YES
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-3, 6, 8-12, 14-16	NO	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-3, 6-16	_ YES	
	Claims	<u>.</u>	NO	

Citations and explanations

- Document 1: JP 8-178907 A (Sekisui Chemical Co., Ltd.), 12 July 1996
- Document 2: JP 3-235084 A (Katsutoshi Sakai), 21 October 1991
- Document 3: JP 10-2969 A (Fuji Chichu Joho K.K.), 6
 January 1998
- Document 4: JP 64-54216 A (NKK Corp.), 1 March 1989
- Document 5: JP 4-136703 A (NKK Corp.), 11 May 1992
- Document 6: JP 2-59649 A (Kido Gijutsu Kenkyusho K.K.), 28 February 1990
- Document 7: JP 9-61421 A (Japan Sewage Works Agency), 7

 March 1997
- Document 8: JP 9-254782 A (Sapporo-shi), 30 September 1997

Claim 1, documents 1 and 2

Document 1 cited in the international search report discloses a device for inspecting a buried pipe equipped with a cavity sensor for searching for cavities present around the periphery of the buried pipe, wherein the device is further equipped with a self-propelled cart that travels the pipeline of the buried pipe, an aboveground means for controlling the travel of said self-propelled cart, a means for performing processing of measured data,

1

and a means for causing the aforementioned cavity sensor to rotate along the inner periphery of the aforementioned buried pipe.

Further, document 1 also discloses the use of a cavity sensor that makes use of electromagnetic waves, and the use of an antenna to generate electromagnetic waves when performing a buried pipe inspection that makes use of electromagnetic waves is a known convention in the art (see, for example, document 2 cited in the international search report), and thus, a person skilled in the art could easily conceive of adapting the invention disclosed in document 1 by applying a constitution wherein an antenna is used as the cavity sensor, thereby producing the invention described in claim 1.

Claim 2, documents 1 and 2

Document 1 also discloses a height adjustment mechanism for adjusting the height of the cavity sensor.

Therefore, a person skilled in the art could easily conceive of the invention described in claim 2 from the invention disclosed in document 1 and the aforementioned known convention.

Claim 3, documents 1 and 2

Document 1 also discloses the three-dimensional display of measured data (corresponding to two-dimensional display in a plurality of directions). Further, as disclosed in document 2, the provision of a means for detecting the position of an antenna is a known convention in the art.

Claims 6 and 8, documents 1 to 3

In the technical field of buried pipe inspections that make use of electromagnetic waves, mounting a camera on a self-propelled cart that travels through a pipeline,

and inspecting the condition of the interior of the pipeline using the video image while simultaneously searching for cavities are known conventions in the art (see, for example, document 3 cited in the international search report), and thus, adopting the aforementioned known conventions in the invention disclosed in document 1 is not recognized as posing any particular difficulty.

Therefore, a person skilled in the art could easily conceive of the invention described in claims 6 and 8 from the invention disclosed in document 1 and the aforementioned known conventions.

Claim 9, documents 1, 2, 4, and 5

In the technical field of buried pipe inspections, adding an encoder for measuring the distance a selfpropelled cart has traveled is a known convention in the art (see, for example, documents 4 and 5 cited in the international search report), and thus, adopting the aforementioned known convention in the invention disclosed in document 1 is not recognized as posing any particular difficulty. Further, adopting an infrared encoder as the encoder is not recognized as achieving any special effect particular to the present invention.

Therefore, a person skilled in the art could easily conceive of the invention described in claim 9 from the invention disclosed in document 1 and the aforementioned known convention.

Claim 10, documents 1 to 3 and 6

A technique for inspecting for deterioration of the concrete of a buried pipe wherein a means for spraying a reagent and a means for observing the appearance of colors that differ according to the presence of or lack of deterioration are mounted on a self-propelled cart is a known convention in the art (see, for example, document 6

cited in the international search report). Further, an inspection approach wherein a plurality of inspection techniques are used to inspect the object of the inspection in a multi-faceted manner is standard practice in the art, and thus, a person skilled in the art could easily conceive of adapting the invention disclosed in document 1 such that the aforementioned known inspection for deterioration is performed in combination with an

inspection for cavities around the buried pipe.

Therefore, a person skilled in the art could easily conceive of the invention described in claim 10 from the invention disclosed in document 1 and the aforementioned known convention.

Claims 11 and 12, documents 1 to 3, 6, 7, and 8

A feature wherein presence of or lack of deterioration resulting from sulfuric acid is assessed according to the color reaction of a reagent is a known convention in the art as a technique for inspecting for deterioration of concrete (see, for example, document 7 cited in the international search report).

Further, a technique for inspecting the interior of a pipeline wherein a sensor for detecting toxic gases such as hydrogen sulfide is added to inspection equipment is a known convention is the art (see, for example, document 8 cited in the international search report).

Therefore, a person skilled in the art could easily conceive of the invention described in claims 11 and 12 from the invention disclosed in document 1 and the aforementioned known conventions.

Claims 14 to 16, documents 1 to 6

The features stipulated in claims 14 to 16 are, as already discussed, known conventions in the art.

International application No. PCT/JP 02/07376

Therefore, a person skilled in the art could easily conceive of the inventions described in claims 14 to 16 from the invention disclosed in document 1 and the aforementioned known conventions.

Claims 7 and 13

The invention described in claims 7 and 13 is not disclosed in any of the documents cited in the international search report, nor would it be obvious to a person skilled in the art.